

GMF perspectives on the stress test and peer review



POST-FUKUSHIMA STRESS TEST PEER REVIEW

Brussels. May, 8th 2012
Mariano Vila d'Abadal, secretary general of GMF



- 2000. Creation of GMF.
- GMF Principles:
 - To promote the application of local democracy in nuclear energy management in order to get the total respect for
 - **Safety**
 - **Transparency and Local Participation**
 - **Radioactive Waste Management**
 - **Economic development**
 - To promote the implementation of the **EU standards** in all EU counties
 - To defend the existence of NPP in our territories



- ❑ GMF is composed by municipalities from **Sweden, Spain, Netherlands, Belgium, Lithuania, Slovakia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Rumania, Bulgaria, Germany, France and Slovenia.**
- ❑ At the same time GMF is also developing a good **cooperation** with the affected municipalities still not members of GMF in Finland.



GMF recommendations (1/2)

Local representatives from the GMF agreed on the following 10 recommendations for good governance in nuclear territories.

- 1 To clearly **define ‘the concerned people’** with special provisions as regards information and participation.
- 2 To establish an **effective legal or / and institutional framework** for information provision and public participation.
- 3 To ensure provision and dissemination of **transparent, plural and reliable** information by independent experts in lay terms.
- 4 To create **tools for public participation** (LICs, partnerships, etc) around nuclear facilities.
- 5 To ensure effective **communication channels** between the different political spheres - national decision-makers and local authorities



GMF recommendations (1/2)

- 6 To ensure that the **know-how of nuclear municipalities** is effectively transferred and taken into account in the decision-making process (I)
- 7 To guarantee **sufficient resources** for nuclear areas to undertake information and participation procedures (I + P)
- 8 To promote **training programmes** for competence building (I)
- 9 To promote **mechanisms for the exchange of information** / experiences on safety, stakeholder involvement and local development at EU level.
- 10 To ensure that municipal practices on information and participation are **independently reviewed and disseminated.**



GMF and Stress Test

- ❑ Local communities knew that they are not Fukushima but questions on safety and security are on the table again.
- ❑ Fukushima opened the debate about the nuclear safety and demonstrated that the nuclear safety is improvable.
- ❑ EU reaction was quick:
 - Pointing at the improvement of the nuclear safety standards.
 - Giving an answer to the citizens about their personal security.



GMF and Stress Test

- ❑ Safety is based on technical and management issues, but the perception of safety is important

- ❑ Stress test and peer review will give us a deep information on the real situation of NPP from the safety point of view and the investments needed to get the best standards

- ❑ The maximum accident can happen,
 - How possible is?
 - Is the emergency planning enough if it take place?



GMF expectations

- ❑ To frame the public debate on nuclear safety

- ❑ To work in safety perception
 - Public information
 - ✦ Real risk
 - ✦ Probability
 - ✦ Investments
 - ✦ Commitment from different actors
 - Emergency preparedness and response

GMF perspectives on the stress test and peer review
Brussels. May, 8th 2012



GMF

GROUP OF EUROPEAN MUNICIPALITIES
WITH NUCLEAR FACILITIES



Thank you for your attention